

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/629,770	TANI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	2841	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Being allowed

(1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel.

(3) _____.

(2) Thomas E. McKierman (Reg. 37,889).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 September 2005

Time: -

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-11

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SEE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called applicant's representative and asked to amend newly added claims 10 and 11 to incorporate patentable limitation of amended claim 1, to overcome the applied prior art and to place the application in allowable condition. . Applicant's representative gave authorization to amend the application by examiner's amendment canceling claims 10 and 11. .